Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/608,028	RAGHAVAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CARL COLIN	2436	

All Participants:

(1) *CARL COLIN*.

(2) *Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *22 November 2008*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1, 2, and 5-36

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner suggested Applicant's Representative to further amend the claims to place the application in bettter condition for allowance and authorization is given by Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas to the Examiner to amend the claims by Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Carl Colin/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2436

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)